

Details of Appeal/Decision of First Appellate Authority for the period April 2020 to September 2020 under RTI Act 2005:

Sl. No.	ID No.	Ground of Appeal	Decision of First Appellate Authority/remarks
1	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/05/04/2020	<p>The replies furnished by PIO to some of the points raised in the RTI Application, as reproduced below, are incomplete and unsatisfactory:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ No response received within the specified period. ➤ Aggrieved by the response received within the specified period. 	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by DPIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</p> <p>(i) As the RFP is still not finalized, the amount incurred can only be provided after it is closed. Further, it is also informed that MSTC has been appointed with fixed remuneration of Rs. 95 lacs which includes charges towards legal vetting of RFP documents and concessional documents of the relevant enclosures documents (1) Work Order is enclosed for your information. Regarding publication of the RFP, an amount of Rs. 2.94 lacs was incurred to issue RFP notice in all editions of Hindustan Times and Hindustan (Hindi).</p> <p>(ii) No bid security has been deposited by any of the bidder so far against the said RFP.</p> <p>(iii) The applicant can get information relating to the present RFP at the following website:</p> <p>https://www.mstcecommerce.com/auctionhome/propertysale/index.jsp: www.indiatradefair.com & https://www.eprocure.gov.in</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
2	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/06/06/2020	<p>The replies furnished by PIO to some of the points raised in the RTI Application, as reproduced below, are incomplete and</p>	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by DPIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st</p>

		<p>unsatisfactory:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Details not Provided. ➤ Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information. ➤ Information provided by concern person regarding RTI No. ITPOR/R/E/20/00015 is false or modified. As per provided information M/s. Guru Enterprises has only one booth (non-corner) booth number P9006, but this information is false. Kindly look into the matter seriously and provide me real information. 	<p>Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</p> <p>(i) Information provided earlier under RTI, was as per records available with the undersigned.</p> <p>(ii) As per booking made and payment towards participation charge, work ordered to construction agency, information given is correct. The relevant enclosures documents (1) Final Layout (2) Invoice in r/o M/s. Guru Enterprises (3) List of Participants are enclosed for your information.</p> <p>(III) You are also advised to inspect available records.</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
3	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/07/02/2020	<p>The applicant/appellant, being unsatisfied with the decision of APIO/PIO, ITPO has submitted First Appeal dated 11.08.2020 (PUC) before the FAA stating that:</p> <p>Details not provided.</p> <p>No Response Within the Time Limit</p>	<p>FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by DPIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as under:</p> <p>(i) The information sought vide RTI Application dated. 22.06.2020 has already been sent to you vide ITPO letter dated. 18.08.2020. (copy enclosed).</p> <p>(ii) The information is once again provided to the applicant. In case, the Applicant is still not satisfied with the enclosed information, the Applicant may inspect the available records at ITPO Hqs.</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
4	ITPO/RTI/Appeal/08/02/2020	<p>The applicant being unsatisfied with the decision of APIO, ITPO has submitted first Appeal before the First Appellate Authority, ITPO stating that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Provided Incomplete, Misleading or False Information. ➤ Not satisfied with reply under 	<p>(i) FAA, ITPO, after having perused the RTI application, reply furnished by DPIO/PIO, and query raised in his 1st Appeal, observed and ruled that the applicant/appellant may be replied as</p>

		<p>RTI Number ITPOR/R/E/20/00018 there is No Evidence of Booth Allotment.</p>	<p>under: As per booking opening notice placed on website "The allocation of booth will be the sole discretion of ITPO". Along with this booking opening notice factsheet was also posted on the website and according to that "right for allocation of booths reserved with ITPO however, allotment shall be done after meeting all mandated requirements and upon receipt of full payments only" Further, on the advice of the supervisory officer, it was decided that at the time of allocation, seniority may be considered by payment of advance. However full payment has to be received before allotment of the booth. Accordingly acceptance of retail participants was obtained on WhatsApp based on seniority of first payment and choice of booth was asked. Booth to B2B participants was allotted based on availability and cluster plan.</p> <p>(ii) Evidence of the choice of booth (photocopy 01 page) is enclosed.</p> <p>With these remarks, the appeal stands disposed of.</p>
--	--	---	--